

Tuesday, January 7th 1992

Today's Topics:

ReRun-InSearchOf  
An Interesting Development ...  
    hieronymous, etc.  
    Suicides?  
    Plasma Vortices  
An Interesting Development ...  
    Implants  
    hieronymous, etc.  
    Gulf Breeze Gifts.  
Cheap analysis of light sources (gulf breeze, etc.)  
    Noriega Trial  
    \*\*\* TV ALERT \*\*\*  
    Crop circles  
    Parallel research  
    Abduction  
Recent New South Wales, Australia, reports

-----  
From: Kay.Mclaughlin@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Kay McLaughlin)  
Subject: ReRun-InSearchOf  
Date: 16 Dec 91 04:00:00 GMT

For the past few weeks A&E has been running old "In Search Of" episodes. Tonite they were running an episode on UFO's, and had a part of the story on Roswell. Unfortunately my VCR is on the blink, and I took notes, (woe is me! please excuse this shoddy piece of reporting! I write Sloooooowwww)

They began the the segment on the Roswell case by saying that it began with a sighting by Dan Wilmot, they interviewed his son briefly. The best part of the segment was an interview with Jesse Marcel. He said that General Ramey made the statement, (about the "kite"), but "we both knew differently".

\*\*\*\*\* Poor note taking, but these are parts of quotes\*\*\*\*\*

"We left Roswell at 3:00-3:30..." "We followed the rancher...what I saw, I couldn't believe there was so much of it..." "we found a piece of metal 2 feet by.... when we picked it was as light as the foil from a pack of cigarettes." (he then made statements as to how you couldn't burn, or smash it with a sledge hammer) "It was not anything from this Earth, that I am sure of....and I am familiar with most materials...., it could not have been (sic. of the earth)."

Then they spoke to Don Ritter building manager of building 18 at Wright Patt which was actually used for "cold soak engine testing".

Col. Robert Friend (NASA), (former bluebook, AF) said "... Some cases had a lot of promise (600+ out of 10,000+ cases) and should have been pursued further"

I thought it was quite intereresting to see these old shows again.

I know this is spotty, the show was made in 1978. Narrated by Leonard

Nimoy.

Reagrds,  
Kay

--

Kay McLaughlin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Kay.McLaughlin@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Jim.Greenen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Greenen)  
Subject: An Interesting Development ...  
Date: 17 Dec 91 15:16:00 GMT

\* Replying to a message originally to Jim Speiser

VG> > I predict that this person will either a) never come forward, b)  
VG> > will come forward with an alias, or c) will come forward with  
VG> > his real name, but will be able to provide nothing in the way of  
VG> > evidence, either for his claims or his background.

VG>

VG> Jim,

VG> I agree with you on this one. I think we've heard more than  
VG> enough about "premier UFO researcher" Wendelle Stevens.

VG>

VG> Vlad

VG>

Vlad; The strongest instincts that a man has is survival then comes that 3 letter wo that sounds like the number 6. Can you blame anyone for not coming forward if there is a strong possibility that he might commit suicide shortly after he announces his name.

In America, there is a high percentage of researchers in UFOs and government secrets that commit suicide. Its very strange but it seems to happen very quick. One minute they are hot on the trail of something big and the next minute they went insane and committed suicide.

From what I heard is that the "Premier Researcher was approached by the FBI and was threaten to disclose this persons name.

73'S ---JIM---

--

Jim Greenen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Jim.Greenen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----

From: violet.berkeley.edu!chalmers  
Subject: hieronymous, etc.  
Date: 19 Dec 91 13:51:21 GMT

From: chalmers@violet.berkeley.edu (John H. Chalmers Jr.)

Some comments on the last newsletter:

Re the Delphos Case: Did the chemist, Erol Faruk, identify or describe the 'unstable chemical' mentioned in the abstract?

Also, gasoline and some other petroleum based solvents are rather quickly metabolized to waxy water-repellent materials by soil bacteria. This might explain some of the unusual chemistry of the soil samples.

Elsewhere I have read that fungal growth, dead fungi remnants and fungal metabolites could explain the properties of the soil. I haven't seen any of the original data or investigations, so I don't know if this is plausible or not.

The often missed point of the Bumblebee story is that it was the theory of how bumblebees fly, not basic aeronautical theory that was wrong. In fact, the paper was written to describe the correct theory of bumblebee aerodynamics. Insect wings work quite differently from bird wings because of their very different structure. I think there was an article in Scientific American on this in the last year or so.

The December 6 issue of Science magazine carries a review of 'Fantastic Archeology,' University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, 1991, pb \$14.95, hc \$28.95 by Stephen Williams, former director of the Peabody Museum of Anthropology at Harvard. This looks like a good source book for heterodox theories on North American archeology from a skeptical, academic viewpoint.

As for the Hieronymous Machine, see US Patent # 2,482,773 to Thomas G(alen). Hieronymous, Sept. 27, 1949. 'Detection of Emanations from Materials and Measurement of the Volumes Thereof.' The emanations are elsewhere called 'eloptic radiation.' The machine includes a pickup coil (antenna), a prism with a goniometer, and a detector consisting of a flat wire coil between two sheets of plastic. One places an object to be analysed near the pickup coil, turns the prism, strokes the detector, and records the prism angle when the detector feels 'tacky' to the touch.

'Eloptic' is possibly a blend of electric and optic.

John Campbell claimed that a schematic of the machine's innards (amplifier, etc.) worked as well as the hard wired version when connected to the coil, prism and detector. He also claimed that the machine worked even when it wasn't plugged into any power source provided the tubes were not burned out. The schematic version likewise only worked when all the connections and symbols were correctly drawn.

Most subjects, including Asimov, I believe, got no reaction whatever with the HM.

A good reference for the HM is 'On the Frontiers of Science,' by G. Harry Stine, Atheneum, NY, 1985. Stine provides instructions for building a HM using contemporary components. Stine's book is an entertaining and convenient source for home-built psionic machines, pyramids, and similar gadgets, but rather unconvincing as a scientific text.

Stine gives a different number for TGH's patent (2,482,772); I don't know if this is a second one or a typo.

Hieronymous also built 'armatures' for the late George Van Tassell's 'Integratron,' a domed circular building at Giant Rock Airport in CA. VT was an early (50's) contactee and was building the Integratron under ET guidance or at least inspiration. It was initially described as a time-travel device, but later as a healing machine. Supposedly the building was constructed entirely without metal parts. After Van Tassell's death, the property was allegedly sold by his widow and I don't what has happened to it. It may have become a discoteque.

--- John

-----  
From: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Sheldon Wernikoff)  
Subject: Suicides?  
Date: 20 Dec 91 04:50:01 GMT

In a message to Vladimir Godic <17-Dec-91> Jim Greenen wrote:

JG> In America, there is a high percentage of researchers in UFOs  
JG> and government secrets that commit suicide....

Hi Jim,

That's a very interesting statement you've made there! Would it be possible for you to cite the source(s) of your data, and be a bit

more specific as to what constitutes "a \*high percentage\* of researchers in UFO's". Additionally, how about elaborating on a few of the more notable ufological suicides?

73's back to ya --

Sheldon, N9EHL @ 1:11/50

--

Sheldon Wernikoff - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

---

From: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Sheldon Wernikoff)  
Subject: Plasma Vortices  
Date: 20 Dec 91 04:51:02 GMT

In a message to Sheldon Wernikoff <19-Dec-91> Robert Trevelyan wrote:

RT> Sheldon,  
RT> Saw a draft copy of a crop circle catalogue by Michael Chorost  
RT> a few days ago. It had a picture of plasma vortex created in a  
RT> lab. It was credited with your name and the photo was credited  
RT> to Richard Shapiro. The photo shows a quintuplet created in  
RT> the lab but did you manage to create a formation in dust  
RT> similar to the Japanese scientist. I would be interested to  
RT> hear your findings on this although I don't believe plasma  
RT> vortices create crop circles I am interested in all aspect of  
RT> this phenomenon.  
RT> Regards, Robert

Hi Robert... in response to your question, no, the quintuplet formation was not duplicated in aluminum dust a la Yoshi-Hiko Ohtsuki. In fact, the effect would not be visible at all were it not for the luminous neon-argon mixture. BTW, to read the photo correctly, observe the dark regions, \*not\* the bright zones. To my knowledge, Ohtsuki's method has produced simple circles, and ringed circles - but no quintuplets.

Being the objective individual that I strive to be, I'll be the first to acknowledge that this work may bear no correlation at all to crop circles, but then again, the similarities are rather remarkable.

At this point in time, I feel one of the most critical research requirements we need to acquire is a bullet proof technique of ascertaining formation authenticity. This will most likely be

achieved through the chronicling of residual artifacts, such as the non naturally occurring radonuclides currently being studied by Marshall Dudley and the polyembryonic seed anomalies described by Charles Levengood.

I would also like to make it clear that I personally feel that most of the more complex agriglyphs have been hoaxed, and in time, the circle phenomenon will come to be known as being the result of some form of naturally occurring, non-intelligent energy.

Take care,

Sheldon

--

Sheldon Wernikoff - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Jim.Speiser@p666.f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)  
Subject: An Interesting Development ...  
Date: 19 Dec 91 15:29:23 GMT

In a message to Vladimir Godic <17 Dec 91 08:16> Jim Greenen wrote:

JG> Vlad; The strongest instincts that a man has is survival then  
JG> comes that 3 letter wo that sounds like the number 6. Can you  
JG> blame anyone for not coming forward if there is a strong  
JG> possibility that he might commit suicide  
JG> shortly after he announces his name.  
JG> In America, there is a high percentage of researchers in UFOs  
JG> and government secrets that commit suicide. Its very strange but  
JG> it seems to happen very quick. One minute they are hot on the  
JG> trail of something big and the next minute they went insane and  
JG> committed suicide.

The only two I can think of are Morris K. Jessup and James McDonald. Jessup I'm not sure about, but McDonald, I am assured, had some bad family problems. His suicide was no mystery. Under your scenario, why didn't Hynek take his own life long before brain cancer claimed him? And why doesn't Mr. Ed do the same?

JG> From what I heard is that the "Premier Researcher was  
JG> approached by the FBI and was threaten to disclose this persons name.

Jim, are there any circumstances under which you will NOT believe that same old chestnut time and time again? Even David Jones, who posted the original message about the supposed "informant", has admitted the whole thing was probably a hoax.

Jim

--

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@p666.f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f150.n30163.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Peggy Noonan)  
Subject: Implants  
Date: 20 Dec 91 16:08:00 GMT

Hi Keith,

The packet of goodies has arrived safely. Thank you very much! I hope the tape also reached you safely. I'm looking forward to reading through the materials over the Christmas break.

Thanks, again!

==Peggy==

--

Peggy Noonan - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f150.n30163.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Jim.Speiser@p666.f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)  
Subject: hieronymous, etc.  
Date: 20 Dec 91 07:00:14 GMT

In a message to All <19 Dec 91 12:54> ncar!violet.berkeley.edu! wrote:

nc>

nc> Re the Delphos Case: Did the chemist, Erol Faruk, identify or  
nc> describe the 'unstable chemical' mentioned in the abstract?

"[The] properties were consistent with the dissolved substance being an alkali metal salt of an organic acid and subsequent chemical manipulation supported this idea. Such a compound would consist of a hydrophobic organic residue -R linked to a hydrophilic carboxylate anion -CO<sub>2</sub>-M<sup>+</sup> (where M represents an unknown metal ion):

R-CO<sub>2</sub>-M<sup>+</sup>"

nc> Also, gasoline and some other petroleum based solvents are

nc> rather quickly metabolized to waxy water-repellent materials  
nc> by soil bacteria. This might explain some of the unusual  
nc> chemistry of the soil samples.

FAAA-scinating....I'd like to think Faruk would have recognized this property.  
His testing seems pretty thorough.

nc> Elsewhere I have read that fungal growth, dead fungi remnants  
nc> and fungal metabolites could explain the properties of the soil.  
nc> I haven't seen any of the original data or investigations, so  
nc> I don't know if this is plausible or not.

Strongly recommend you pick up JUFOS, as it is Ufology's own and only refereed  
science journal. \$15 from CUFOS, 2357 W. Peterson Ave., Chicago 60659.

nc>  
nc> As for the Hieronymous Machine, see US Patent # 2,482,773 to  
nc> Thomas G(alen). Hieronymous, Sept. 27, 1949. 'Detection of  
nc> Emanations from Materials and Measurement of the Volumes Thereof.'

Thanks! When you say that Campbell reported the schematic diagram worked as  
well as the machine itself, you mean he actually used the paper with the  
drawings on it as a substitute for the machine? Like trying to open a can of  
dog food by holding it near a picture of a can opener? HAHAAHAHA! That's gonna  
go in my list of The Ten Most Outlandish Claims of All Time.

Lessee, so far I've got...

Lizard Man  
Elvis Lives  
Bielek's Philadelphia Story  
The Driver Shot Kennedy  
The Diagram Works as Well as the Machine

Any other candidates?

Jim

--

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@p666.f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
  
From: Andre.Eichner@f10.n245.z2.FIDONET.ORG (Andre Eichner)  
Subject: Gulg Breeze Gifts.  
Date: 13 Dec 91 06:07:00 GMT



Hello Don!

In a msg of <01 Dec 91>, Don Allen writes to Jim Speiser:

DA> To convert a GIF for posting on the Net requires that you must first  
DA> uuencode it from an 8 bit file format to a 7 bit ASCII text format so  
DA> it can be transmitted around the world intact in message format.

It's better to install a tick-file echo...

cheers

Andre

```
+-----+
| FidoNet-Berlin, the UFO information service in Berlin |
| FidoNet 2:245/10, GerNet 222:100/29, ParaNet 91:1021/2 |
| +49-30-7919269 HST/V32/V42B 14.400 bps                |
| Sysop: Andre Eichner                                   |
+-----+
```

--

Andre Eichner - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: Andre.Eichner@f10.n245.z2.FIDONET.ORG

-----

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)

Subject: Cheap analysis of light sources (gulf breeze, etc.)

Date: 20 Dec 91 07:52:00 GMT

> I think a profitable way to find out what kind of lights are  
> used  
> in any phenomena would be a simple spectroscope.

Videotape has been shot of an ordinary road flare using a diffraction grating;  
presumably other flares would show a similar spectral "smear." (not very good,  
but maybe better than nothing)

How much would a simple spectroscope cost?

jbh

--

John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----

From: Jim.Greenen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Greenen)  
Subject: Noriega Trial  
Date: 20 Dec 91 14:24:00 GMT

\* Replying to a message originally to Don Allen

JS> Don:

JS>

JS> Was it you that told us that Noriega's trial was proceeding

JS> in Fla.? I know this is gonna sound ridiculous, but could

JS> you source me on that? Newspapers? Newsmagazines? CNN?

JS> What's the deal? I still haven't heard word one, and I'm

JS> beginning to wonder if maybe you misheard, or misread, or

JS> maybe I did...

JS>

JS> Jim

Jim, Don is right and the trial has been going on for sometime.  
Starting next week, the defense will make its presentation on the  
behalf of Noriega. It smells of the James "Bo" Grz trial where it  
was completely black-out from the city limits of Las Vegas. Lars  
Hannson has been covering the trial and has written some news  
articles on it. Can't get a major newspaper or TV station to touch  
it. It makes you wonder just whats going on. 73'S ---JIM---

--

Jim Greenen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: Jim.Greenen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: cbmvax.cbm.commodore.com!vanth!jms

Subject: \*\*\* TV ALERT \*\*\*

Date: 21 Dec 91 12:31:18 GMT

From: vanth!jms@cbmvax.cbm.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

The Christmas episode of 'Unsolved Mysteries' will be a rebroadcast of the  
season premiere covering the Bentwaters/Rendlesham case.

--

* From the disk of:	jms@vanth.uucp	I'm in a groove now
Jim Shaffer, Jr.	uunet!cbmvax!vanth!jms	-- or is it a rut?
37 Brook Street	jms%vanth@cbmvax.commodore.com	
Montgomery, PA 17752	72750.2335@compuserve.com	(Rush, 'Face Up')

-----  
From: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Keith Basterfield)  
Subject: Crop circles  
Date: 20 Dec 91 04:39:00 GMT

I came across a slightly dated item the other day which was news to me, so I post it for what it is worth. The following is the text of an AAP (Australian Associated Press) International News Item off the wires and before being published in any media sources. (In fact I've never seen it in the media here.)

"London, Oct 27 PA A leading scientist investigating crop circles now says that all but the simple circles are the work of hoaxers. Dr Terence Meaden, director of the Oxford based Tornado and Storm Research Organisation, studied over 200 crop circles this year. He stands by his theory that the circles are made naturally by a "vortex" a miniature whirlwind of electrically charged matter. But he now believes the more complex patterns with squares, triangles and straight lines are fakes.

Today, he said: "I have crystalised my previous doubts. I can assure you that that the complex patterns are all fakes." Sometimes, people had left objects deliberately to be found; other times, the paths they had trodden to begin the circle had been found hidden underneath the corn, he said.

Also, unlike the authentic circles, the man-made patterns showed the corn had been bent to the point of breaking or snapping, he said. His whirlwind theory had been substantiated, he said, by a dozen eyewitness accounts and in laboratory tests. Meaden's shift of opinion was featured on the channel 4 science and technology program Equinox tonight, which looked at the phenomenon of crop circles.

--

Keith Basterfield - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Keith Basterfield)  
Subject: Parallel research  
Date: 20 Dec 91 04:52:00 GMT

The other day I came across a book by R A Gardner (1991) titled "Sex Abuse Hysteria: Salam Witch Trials Revisited." Published by Creative Therapeutics, Cresskill. Gardner is listed as a Clinical Professor of Child Psychiatry at Columbia University. Two portions of it on pages 99-100 caught my eye, being relevant to abduction research.

"A recent development in the field of psychotherapeutic psychiatry is the "uncovering" of early sex abuse that the patient never realized took place. This has been very much in vogue during the last few years. Sometimes the

process starts with the psychiatrist "suspecting" sex abuse on the basis of allegedly derivative statements and symptoms that are "suggestive" of early childhood sex abuse. When the patient expresses puzzlement and even disbelief, he (she) is encouraged to enter into a more meaningful and deeper (sometimes on the couch) therapy in order to "uncover" these lost memories. Human beings, suggestible and gullible animals that we are, are likely to comply with the psychiatrist's prediction and provide the psychiatrist with the "lost" material." Later on page 100:-

"Interestingly, an even more recent development is the suspicion by patients-arising within themselves-that they may have been sexually abused and were not aware of it." Interestingly, these developments have occurred in abduction research in recent times. Have you had an unexplained nosebleed, dreams of aliens? Then perhaps you should consider regression to determine if you have been abducted!

--

Keith Basterfield - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Keith Basterfield)

Subject: Abduction

Date: 20 Dec 91 05:01:00 GMT

Hi Peggy, thanks for the Richard Price tape. I have listened to it several times over and find it fascinating. It contains some material the same as the printed article that's on it's way to you, and some new material. Thanks again for sending it to me. BTW I finished that article on implants I have been working on and have despatched it to the International UFO Reporter for consideration of publication. Hard proof still evades us, despite there being some hearsay material floating around.

--

Keith Basterfield - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Bill.Chalker.UFORA.Associate.NSW@f8.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Bill Chalker  
UFORA Associate NSW)

Subject: Recent New South Wales, Australia, reports

Date: 20 Dec 91 05:58:00 GMT

I have a number of reports under current investigation, beyond a number of

ongoing abduction cases.

Picnic Point, Sydney Suburb, NSW, 12.30 am, 1st December, 1991: A man was standing in front of a friend's house saying goodnight to his friend who was standing on the verandah.

The following quotes from a statement from one of this man:

"Suddenly a flash of light travelling down and to my left flashed into my eye. I turned left and looked upward and there about 50-60 metres away and about 200 metres up was a large disc of flaming circular light which had continued down and to my left (northward).

"I immediately pointed at it with my right arm and I loudly said to J., "What the hell is that?"

"The object immediately stopped and I felt a shot of adrenalin in my heart. It seemed to turn towards us. Its large flat black wings now facing us from their back and they were curling up above and behind the light....

"It momentarily hovered for about 2 seconds and it then suddenly moved directly back and away from us about another 50 metres. It stopped there for about a second and it then went directly up, turned away from us and headed up and away from us in a north easterly direction.

"We watched it for about a minute and a half as it accelerated up and away from us and I could see the aerofoil like tops of the wings fluttering (like a sail does) in the wind.

"We kept watching it until it quickly became just a twinkling light in the distance and we then called C. (J.'s wife) to look at it. She could only see the distant flickering of the light and was quite annoyed that we had not wakened her sooner.

"By this time a total of about 2-3 minutes had elapsed until we could no longer see it in the distant high clouds."

Statement from witness M.

I spoke with M. later that same day. Due to difficulties of getting J. & M. together a field investigation was not undertaken until December 9th. On the 1st, I requested both men write down as much as they could to keep the experience details clear to them.

I visited J.'s residence in the company of 2 associates, where we interviewed M., J., and his wife. We reconstructed the event on the spot.

Detail of the object: It consisted of "a disc of mainly yellow with some light blue light. It appeared to be clear in that it seemed to have depth but you could not see through it....Attached to the disc were very large, flat and black wings. They seemed to swivel on the disc and to bend towards the direction that the object travelled as does a sail. There was hardly any wind on the night and only scattered cloud cover...."

No sound was heard. The size of the disc was estimated at about 10 to 15 feet in diameter, and the "wings" were between 50 to 60 feet in height and between 30 to 35 feet in width at the top. They were black.

The weather bureau indicated nil launches at the time. No other traffic details are applicable.

The object gives the impression of a large "intelligent" hot air balloon device, but the nature of the sighting and its apparent extraordinary movements appears to negate this explanation. Further investigations are underway.

This sighting was within a kilometer or two of 2 other interesting events reported to me this year. One of these events involved a spectacular low level flyover of a massive rectangular object in 1978 at the nearby Picnic Power Station area. The other involved 2 young men (in their 20s) who witnessed a low level "flyover" of a brilliantly lit disc at nearby Padstow on March 25th, 1991. This experience appears to be part of an extended milieu contagion

possibly involving possible "abduction " like experiences in the past, with one of them having a possible CE3 event at Bents Basin as a child. Bents Basin incidentally is the location of a spectacular CE3 "robot" type event involving 6 young people back in 1972. I investigated this report with David Buching back in 1975. The recent milieu appears to have been a life long series of events.

About 1 am, December 13th, 1991 - between Tamworth and Werris Creek. A woman was driving home from work in Tamworth heading to Werris Creek. She reports being followed by strange lights. They appeared to be 4 red lights in a line with a green light underneath. The witness reports the light arrangement followed her car for several kilometres, and apparently came over her car. There was a whizzing noise at this point, whereupon there were 2 brief episodes of power loss and all lights going out. When I interviewed the woman on December 15th, I determined that she did not actually see the lights pass over her car. She just presumed this was the case when she heard the unusual whizzing noise. She also told me that at its closest observed point the light display was in an adjacent paddock, ostensibly hovering above the grass, but apparently in front of a small hill (but below the summit line, ie. it clearly was below the skyline and apparently quite close). The experience terrified her and her fear was obvious to those she met when she arrived home.

A possible explanation that needs to be properly evaluated is that a new TV tower had its beacon lights switched on for the first time on December 11th. This tower is located in the area. A man driving home that night reported to local TV station he had probably seen the same thing as the woman, but he was sure it was due to the tower. There were at least 2 other separate witnesses who believe they saw something unusual. One man travelling to work at Werris Creek ostensibly saw the same display and apparently also experienced car effects.

This investigation has also brought to light other case details. More of this later.

This report was brought to my notice on December 13th, due to a TV interview (local TV news). An associate in the area investigating earlier Barraba area sightings on my behalf called me straight after the news report was aired. I have spoken to area police, TV news and local papers, along with the main reporting witness, along with her husband and mother in law. She comes across as a sincere woman who was genuinely frightened by her experience.

It should be noted that the direction of the tower was greatly different to that of the close paddock position reported. Light drizzle was falling at the time. Is it possible that some sort of weather related reflection of the new tower lights. The witness while prepared to consider this, did not think it fitted.

Field investigations are currently trying to be arranged.

Regards from Bill Chalker.

--

Bill Chalker UFORA Associate NSW - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name

INTERNET: Bill.Chalker.UFORA.Associate.NSW@f8.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

\*\*\*\*\*To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to\*\*\*\*\*  
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,csn}!scicom!infopara  
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com

For administrative requests (subscriptions, back issues) send to:

UUCP {ncar,isis,csn}!scicom!infopara-request  
DOMAIN infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com

To obtain back issues by anonymous ftp, connect to:

DOMAIN ftp.uiowa.edu (directory /archives/paranet)

Mail to private Paranet/Fidonet addresses from the newsletters:

DOMAIN firstname.lastname@paranet.org

UUCP scicom!paranet.org!firstname.lastname

\*\*\*\*\*The\*\*End\*\*of\*\*Info-ParaNet\*\*Newsletter\*\*\*\*\*